



appl. No. 09/514,149

11/20/08 DAC 1

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 09/514,149 Confirmation No. 9235  
Applicants : S. Afshar, et al.  
Filed : February 28, 2000  
Group Art Unit : 2194  
Examiner : Lechi Truong  
Docket No. : 1999-0710  
  
Title : PARADIGM IN MULTIMEDIA SERVICES CREATION  
METHODOLOGY, AND NEW SERVICE CREATION  
AND SERVICE EXECUTION ENVIRONMENTS

Attention: Office of Petitions  
**Mail Stop Petition**  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED  
UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Sir:

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a Notice to File Corrected Application Papers mailed on April 25, 2008. Applicants hereby petition for revival of this application.

A grantable petition for revival of an application that has been unintentionally abandoned, under 37 CFR 1.37(b), requires the following items: (1) Reply; (2) Petition Fee; (3) Statement that the entire time delay was unintentional; and (4) Terminal Disclaimer with disclaimer fee if the patent application was filed before June 8, 1995.

07/29/2008 SSANDARA 00000031 09514149

01 FC:1453

1540.00 OP

1. Reply

The reply to the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers in the form of Replacement Drawings is enclosed herewith.

2. Petition fee

Applicants have enclosed a credit card payment form paying the petition fee of \$1,540.00, as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m).

3. STATEMENT

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required replay until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

4. Terminal disclaimer

Since this utility application was filed after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

As described above, all the items required under 37 CFR 1.137(b) have been fulfilled. Therefore, Applicants request revival of the abandoned application.


The Notice to File Corrected Application Papers was directed towards deficiencies in the drawings and required Replacement Drawings. In response, Applicants have enclosed Replacement Drawings, along with a copy of the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers.

In addition to the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers, in a telephone call Examiner Truong indicated that the Abstract is too long, and a new Abstract must be submitted. In response to this telephone call, Applicants have enclosed an Amendment including an amended Abstract, which complies with 37 CFR 1.72.

Examiner Truong also indicated in the telephone call that the inventor list does not match the inventors on the declaration. Applicants respectfully disagree as all inventors are listed on and have signed the declaration documents filed on June, 15, 2000. In particular, two copies of the declaration were filed together on June 15, 2000. The first copy of the declaration is signed by the signatures of Siroos K. Afshar, Nancy Conley, Keith Kiser, William J. Leighton III, Dinesh N. Lokhande, Shapour Neshatfar, Boguslaw L. Olszowy, Rajiv Patel, Sudhakar Rajamannar, Mark Szachara, and Eberhard F. Wunderlich. The second copy of the declaration was signed by Patricia E. McCrink. Therefore, all of the inventors were listed on and have signed the declaration.

The issue fee of \$1440 has been previously paid in this application on June 17, 2008. Applicants respectfully request issuance of this application.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven M. DiPasquo  
Reg. No. 54,754  
Attorney for Applicants  
Tel.: 973-533-1616

Date: July 24, 2008  
**AT&T Corp**  
**Room 2A-207**  
**One AT&T Way**  
**Bedminster, NJ 07921**